## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARTHUR M. SMITH, IV, et al.,	CASE NO. <b>3:14-cv-1763 EDL</b>
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
UNITED AIRLINES, INC., et al.	
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met ar following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	ving ADR process:
Court Processes:  ☐ Non-binding Arbitration (AI ☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (EN x Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably more likely to meet their needs	tlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process:  ☐ Private ADR (please identify)	process and provider)
The parties have been unable agree to agree Plaintiffs request a deadline	on a deadline. They request dates as follows: of December 15, 2014
Both Defendants request a de	eadline of February 27, 2015
Dated: August 6, 2014	/s/ Dow W. Patten Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: August 6, 2014	/s/ Michele Haydel Gehrke Attorney for United
Dated: August 6, 2014	_/s/ Jason Wojciechowski Attorney for IAM

<del>[PROPOSED</del> ] O	RD	ER
--------------------------	----	----

□ The parties' stipulation is adopted with a deadline of December 15, 2014 and IT IS SO ORDERED.
 □ The parties' stipulation is adopted with a deadline of February 27, 2015 and IT IS SO ORDERED.
 □ The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 2, 2014

Elijah? D. Legate UNITED TATES DISTRICT MAGISTRATE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."